



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Jan Forslow

Serial No. 09/755,027

Filed: January 8, 2001

Extranet Workgroup Information Across
Multiple Mobile Virtual Private Networks

Atty Dkt No. 0254.00012

RECEIVED

Group Art Unit: 3565

MAY 02 2005

Examiner: T. Mauro, Jr.

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ELECTION OF SPECIES

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of May 26, 2004, Applicant hereby requests the examination of Group 3 including claims 1, 14, 25-39 and 57-71 with traverse. The examiner identified claim 1 as being generic and Group 3 as including claims 25-39 and 58-71.

However, claim 25 depends from claim 14, which in turn, depends from claim 1. An examination of claim 25 will necessarily include an examination of the claimed subject matter in claim 14. Hence, Applicants believe claim 14 should be included in the examination of Group 3.

Similarly, claim 58 depends from claim 57, which depends from claim 25. An examination of claim 58, therefore, will necessarily include an examination of claim 57. Accordingly, claim 57 should be included in the examination of Group 3.

Therefore, the Applicant submits that the examination of Group 3 should include claims 1, 14, 25-39 and 57-71.

Dated: November 26, 2004

By: Steven Schad
Steven P. Schad
Registration No. 32,550

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
1001 G Street, N.W.
Eleventh Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001-4597
(202) 824-3000